

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/858,035	05/15/2001	Tarun J. Edwin	297912001911 4383		
75	90 10/07/2003		EXAMINER		
Todd W. Wigh	Todd W. Wight			PREBILIC, PAUL B	
Morrison & Foe					
555 West Fifth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1024			3738		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	a	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>&amp;</b>			$\Lambda$ K			
· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1 1			
Advisory Action	09/858,035	EDWIN ET AL.				
riavious riodon	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Paul B. Prebilic	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in						
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final rej	ection, even it timely filed,	may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) \( \square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) 🛮 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	· · ——					
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared.			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		•			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>41-50</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:						
		rault	eval			
		Paul B. Prebii	C Nor			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/858,035

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Furthermore, the reply is not responsive to the double patenting rejection in that it merely states that a terminal disclaimer will be filed at some future date.